



# United States Department of the Interior

## BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

El Centro Field Office  
1661 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street  
El Centro, CA 92243  
(760) 337-4400



### **Imperial Sand Dunes Proposed Recreation Area Management Plan/California Desert Conservation Area Plan Amendment and Final Environmental Impact Statement Errata Sheet**

Dear Reader:

The purpose of these errata is to correct factual inaccuracies or typographical errors in the Imperial Sand Dunes Proposed Recreation Area Management Plan (RAMP), CDCA Plan Amendment, and Final EIS (FEIS). The Record of Decision (ROD) will govern in the event of any factual discrepancies between it and the Proposed RAMP/FEIS. To the extent that the clarifications below affect the project description, the ROD will incorporate these clarifications.

#### **2.3.8 Special Status Species Management**

Section 2.3.8.1.3 Management Actions by Alternative, Table 2-7, presents the management actions for special status species that vary by alternative (page 2-49). Alternative 8, the preferred alternative for the Proposed Plan includes "Allow camping within some areas within BLM sensitive species habitat, except microphyll woodlands south of Wash 33 and north of Wash 70."

However, in sections of Chapter 4 Environmental Consequences, several paragraphs incorrectly list this camping closure as south of Wash 44 or Wash 30, and north of Wash 70. Section 4.5 Impacts on Vegetation Resources, subsection 4.5.2, paragraph ten, page 4-45, reads "Under Alternative 8, the microphyll woodlands would be open to OHV recreation but camping (of all types) would be prohibited within the microphyll woodlands south of Wash 44 and north of Wash 70." This is incorrect and should read "... south of Wash 33 and north of Wash 70." Section 4.14 Impacts on Recreation Program, subsection 4.14.1, paragraph two, page 4-116, reads "Under Alternative 8, campgrounds south of Wash 30 and north of Wash 70 would be closed to camping but open to OHV use." This statement is repeated in Section 4.14.5.3, paragraph three, page 4-118; section 4.15.1, paragraph eight, page 4-121; and section 4.15.5.3, paragraph two, page 4-123. These are all incorrect and should all read "... south of Wash 33 and north of Wash 70."

### **2.3.16.1 OHV Management Area Designations**

The Proposed RAMP/CDCA Plan Amendment and Final EIS proposes to designate all BLM-administered public lands within the Planning Area as open, limited, or closed to motorized use. The second paragraph of section 2.3.16.1, page 2-94 states that “The preferred alternative designates all critical habitat for Pierson’s milk-vetch as closed to OHV use.” This is consistent with Section 2.3.8.2 Federally Listed Species and Designated Critical Habitat, Table 2-8 Management Actions for Pierson’s Milk-vetch by Alternative, Alternative 8, on page 2-51.

Map 2-26: OHV Management Areas Alternative 8 depicts polygons labeled “Closed to OHV Use.” The largest of these polygons, in the southern portion of the map, appears to be divided by a heavy green line, which could be interpreted as an open OHV travel corridor. This is a mapping error caused by joining two polygons to create one. It is not the intent of BLM to create a travel corridor through Pierson’s milk-vetch critical habitat under Alternative 8 and the Proposed Plan. The correct polygon is shown on Map 3-2: Pierson’s Milk-vetch Critical Habitat. The incorrect polygon shown on Map 2-26 will be replaced with the correct polygon shown on Map 3-2 to emphasize BLM’s proposed management action of closing all Pierson’s milk-vetch critical habitat to OHV use, with no travel corridors through critical habitat.



